IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES	*
V.	* CRIM. NO. 21-362
KAREEM COLLIER	*
	* ****
ORDER REGARDING USE OF VIDEO CONFERENCING/TELECONFERENCING	
FOR FELONY PLE	EAS AND/OR SENTENCINGS
In accordance with Standing Order 2	2020-06, this Court finds:
That the Defendant (or the Juvenile	e) has consented to the use of video
teleconferencing/teleconferencing to conduc	act the proceeding(s) held today, after consultation
with counsel; and	
That the proceeding(s) to be held to	oday cannot be further delayed without serious harm
to the interests of justice, for the following	specific reasons:
-	
Accordingly, the proceeding(s) held on this	s date may be conducted by:
Video Teleconferencing	
Teleconferencing, because video t	teleconferencing is not reasonably available for the
following reason:	
The Defendant (or the Juv	venile) is detained at a facility lacking video
teleconferencing capability.	
Other:	
ouler.	
D (02/00/2022	Zhu Mat
Date: 03/09/2022	

Honorable Brian Martinotti United States District Judge The Court finds that the sentencing hearing to be held today cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice, for the following reasons:

- 1. To ensure that the Court is not overwhelmed by cases and proceedings at the conclusion of this period of emergency. Currently, District Judges in New Jersey handle a substantially larger docket of cases than Judges in other Districts in the United States. New criminal cases continue to be assigned by the Court during the emergency. If the Court cannot resolve matters by guilty plea and sentencing, the resulting backlog will overwhelm the Court's ability to effectively function. The concern of such congestion and the particular harm that likely will be caused by delays in the processing of cases and matters in the future is particularly acute in this emergency, at least given that: (1) it is unknown when this emergency will subside and when the Court will be able to function at normal capacity (including, among other things, the empanelment of trial juries) and (2) this District's pre-existing shortage of District Court Judges which already has challenged the Court's ability to process and resolve cases. This District has six District Judge vacancies: two have been pending for more than five years; one has been pending almost three years; two have been pending for more than a year; and one has been pending almost a year. The Federal Judicial Conference has deemed the District's six vacancies judicial emergencies.
- 2. To permit the defendant to obtain a speedy resolution of his case through a timely sentencing and to afford appropriate punishment and rehabilitation. The defendant has asked for this case to be heard today by timely sentencing hearing.
- 3. To permit the Government to obtain a resolution of the case so that the Government, already operating in a restricted capacity due to the emergency, may appropriately focus its resources on other, emerging criminal matters. The Government has asked for this case to be seen today for a timely sentencing hearing.